

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
09/702,380 10/31/2000		Edward P. Maher	10992667-1	2250			
	7590 12/22/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Hewlett-Pac	kard Co	ompany		WALLERSO	WALLERSON, MARK E		
Intellectual P	roperty A	Administration					
P O Box 272			•	'ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Fort Collins.	CO 80	527-2400		2626			

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

` PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			09/702,380	MAHER ET AL.	MAHER ET AL.				
			Examiner	Art Unit					
			Mark E. Wallerson	2626					
Period	The MAILING DATE of this commun for Reply	nication appe	ears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence ac	idress				
WH - Ex aft - If N - Fa An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M ensions of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common to period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply to reply received by the Office later than three months are provided that the provided has been departed by the Office later than three months are patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, o	TE OF THIS COMMUNION (6) (a). In no event, however, may a religious in the second of	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of the companion of the c	,				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 12 Oc	tober 2005.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispos	tion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the	application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)L_	Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or	election requirement.						
Applica	tion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)∟	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P	ΓO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a	a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	See the attached detailed Office actio	лт юга 1181 0	i die cerdiled copies not	ieceiveu.					
•	<i>"</i>								
Attachme			41 🗀 (
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTC 	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/702,380 Page 2

Art Unit: 2626

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

1. This action is responsive to the following communications: amendment filed on 10/12/2005.

2. This application has been reconsidered. Claims 1-19 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 6, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oshikoshi (U.S. 4,769,694) in view of Waller, Jr. (Waller) (U.S. 6,692,799).

With respect to claims 1 and 11, Oshikoshi discloses a printing device for printing ID cards (column 1, lines 11-25) comprising an input area for holding transparent media (column 1, lines 50-55); a processor configured to receive input images that define the ID cards (column 2, lines 60-68) and transpose the images into reverse images (column 2, line 60 to column 3, line 4), and a printer coupled to the processor and configured to receive and print the reverse images on the transparent media (column 7, lines 23-56).

Oshikoshi differs from claims 1 and 11 in that he does not clearly disclose that the printer is a photo quality inkjet printer.

Application/Control Number: 09/702,380 Page 3

Art Unit: 2626

Waller discloses a print system for creating ID cards wherein an inkjet printer is used to create high quality images (column 1, lines 21-43; column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 14, and column 3, lines 54-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Oshikoshi wherein an inkjet printer is used. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Oshikoshi by the teaching of Waller in order to reduce the cost of printing and to obtain high quality images as disclosed by Waller in column 1, lines 34-40.

With regard to claim 6, Oshikoshi discloses the images included photographic and alphanumeric data of the individual (column 2, lines 60-67).

5. Claims 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oshikoshi in view of Waller as applied to claims 1 and 11 above, and further in view of Akada (U.S. 6,392,680).

With respect to claims 2, 3, 12, and 13, Oshikoshi as modified differs from claims 23, 12, and 13 in that he does not clearly disclose the transparent media is a roll of polyester. Akada discloses using polyester media in the printing of ID cards (column 21, lines 8-28 and column 25, lines 18-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Oshikoshi as modified wherein the transparent media is a roll of polyester. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Oshikoshi as modified by the teaching of Akada in order to improve the running performance of the sheet.

Application/Control Number: 09/702,380

Art Unit: 2626

With respect to claims 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 14, 15, 16, 18, and 19, Oshikoshi as modified differs from claims 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 14, 15, 16, 18, and 19 in that he does not clearly disclose laminating the transparent media to a plastic card using an adhesive and cutting the laminated ID card. Akada discloses the transparent media is laminated to a plastic card, and an adhesive is used to laminate the transparent media to the card (column 16, lines 24-52; column 19, lines 36-58, and column 20, lines 21-40) and a die cut to cut the plastic card (column 29, lines 36-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Oshikoshi as modified wherein the transparent media is laminated to a plastic card using an adhesive. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Oshikoshi as modified by the teaching of Akada in order to further protect the transparent media.

Page 4

With respect to claims 8 and 17, Oshikoshi discloses drying the images (column 3, lines 40-46).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 10/12/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant submits that Oshikoshi does not disclose printing a reverse image on a transparent media. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Oshikoshi discloses printing reversed images (column 2, line 67 to column 3, line 9) on transparent media (which reads on photographic paper) (column 1, lines 22-25). Additionally, Waller discloses printing on transparent (thermoplastic) material (column 9, lines 51-65).

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark E. Wallerson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7470. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday - 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached on (571) 272-7471. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/702,380

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark E. Wallerson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2626 Page 6

MARK WALLEÁSON PRIMARY EXAMINER